

My Ref. MAN-035.

PINS RECEIVED

29 JAN 2020

28th January 2020

Re Manston Airport
Delayed Decision 18th May.

I wish to make the following comments on why River Oaks proposal should be rejected.

1 "The World Health Organization" in numerous research papers have concluded the negative effects of living under a flight path; an increased risk of strokes, heart attacks, asthma as well as impaired cognitive development are all indicated.

2 Recent reports in national newspapers and "The Lancet" highlight

the deaths and reduced life expectancy of people living in areas with high pollution levels. Aircraft have a higher concentration of toxic emissions therefore, logically, freight aircraft flying 500 feet above Central Runway will be a disaster.

3, River Oaks financial package to schools is derisory. Moreover, if River Oaks concede that noise pollution affects children's education they must also concede the negative effect of air pollution on children's health. Britain, we are told, has an obesity crisis but how can children participate in P.E. lessons, run around at break or lunchtimes, walk to school or move between lessons with aircraft flying 500 feet above rooftops emitting toxic fumes.

4 I end with a question:
Why, at the very moment that
Central Government is phasing out
diesel cars because of confirmed
health problems due to air pollution,
is it deemed acceptable to allow
aircraft to fly 500 feet above
Central Ramsgate emitting toxic
fumes.

The men, women and children
living, working and being educated
in Central Ramsgate have the basic
human right to breathe clean,
safe fresh air.

Yours Faithfully

